1 2 3 4 5 6 7 UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT WESTERN DISTRICT OF WASHINGTON 8 AT SEATTLE 9 MARK SMITH, CASE NO. C18-0701JLR 10 Plaintiff, ORDER STRIKING DISCOVERY 11 **MOTION** v. 12 **EVERGREEN TREATMENT** 13 SERVICES, 14 Defendant. 15 Before the court is Defendant Evergreen Treatment Services's ("Evergreen") 16 motion to compel Plaintiff Mark Smith's responses to discovery. (Mot. (Dkt. # 28).) 17 Evergreen filed that motion without first requesting a conference with the court. (See 18 Dkt.) The motion therefore contravenes the court's December 12, 2018, scheduling 19 order. (See Sched. Order (Dkt. # 25) at 2 (citing Fed. R. Civ. P. 16(b)(3)(B)(v)) 20 ("[P]ursuant to Federal Rule of Civil Procedure 16, the Court 'direct[s] that before 21 moving for an order relating to discovery, the movant must request a conference with the 22

court' by notifying [the courtroom deputy]" (second alteration in original))); see also Fed. R. Civ. P. 16(b)(3)(B)(v) (permitting the court, in its scheduling order, to "direct that before moving for an order relating to discovery, the movant must request a conference with the court"). The court therefore STRIKES Evergreen's motion to compel discovery (Dkt. #28) without prejudice to renewing the motion in a manner that comports with the court's scheduling order. Dated this 10th day of July, 2019. ~ R. Rlit JAMES L. ROBART United States District Judge